

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/486,623	07/06/2000	PETER E. NIELSEN	ISIS-3292	9879	
7:	590 09/23/2002			٠	
JOHN W CALDWELL WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS			EXAMINER		
			MARSCHEL, ARDIN H		
ONE LIBERTY PLACE 46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
THEREEN			1631	110	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002	, 14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



and the second of the second o

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
			EXAMINER		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED:

## Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION					
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:					
a) 🛛 is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection					
b) a expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).  Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 8/30/02 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:					
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:					
<ul> <li>a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.</li> </ul>					
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).					
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).					
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.					
e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.					
3. 💢 Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 💢 will be entered 🗌 will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:					
Claims allowed:  Claims objected to:  55 and 56 (due to deg. from rejected claims)  Claims rejected:  23 and 25-28  However;  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The NEW MATTER viecture against					
However;  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The NEW MATTER usections against  An 55 and 562					
4. A The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the obviousments - type dol for his sign over P/N E, 300,318 a still do not proper and his not been consideration.					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.</li> </ol>					
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.					
Other Andrew Warsch					